MS. VEENSTRA: Russell Castro. 1 RUSSELL CASTRO, Sworn 2 THE WITNESS: Good morning. 3 THE COURT: Good morning. 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MS. VEENSTRA: 6 Could you please introduce yourself for the 7 record? 8 Russell Castro, C-A-S-T-R-O. Α. And how are you employed, sir? 10 0. Employed with the City of Attleboro. 11 Α. officer. 12 And how long have you been a police officer with 13 Q. the City of Attleboro? 14 Approximately ten years. 15 Α. I'd like to direct your attention, Officer 16 Q. Castro, to the month of May in the year 2001, and 17 ask you whether you were dispatched along with a 18 number of other officers to AMSA located in the 19 City of Attleboro? 20 Yes. Originally Sturdy Hospital. 21 Α. Okay. And at some point did you respond to AMSA? 22 Ο. Yes. 23 Α. And what other officers, if you recall, also 24 responded to that location? 25

- 1 A. Officer Larsen and Detective Otrando.
- Q. At that time did you have an opportunity to view the facility and some video surveillance?
- 4 | A. Yes.

- Q. Can you describe as best you recall what you saw depicted on the video surveillance?
  - A. On the video surveillance we seen the male and a female, Mr. Ciambriello and Kiernan the female --
- 9 Q. The alleged victim?
- 10 Α. -- the alleged victim walking through a room. 11 believe it was the money processing room. 12 that point the male had his right arm around her 13 backside, lower back, upper buttocks, walking 14 through the room. Then on another view, more of 15 a back view, they walk down a hallway with the 16 hand on the back and entering an office; and 17 several minutes later, the female exited the 18 office and went to the left.
- Q. Can you estimate, if you can, about how long they were in that office?
- 21 A. I'd say less than four minutes.
- Q. Did you ever see any tape which -- or segment or portion of a tape which may have depicted the inside of that office?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Did you hear any words that were spoken when viewing that tape?
- 3 A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Did you note any obvious facial expressions or expressions of demeanor on either the alleged victim or Mr. Ciambriello?
- 7 | A. No, I did not.
- 8 Q. Now, I'm going to direct your attention to later, 9 much later in the investigation. Were you asked 10 by Sergeant Otrando of your department to bring 11 some tapes to the Raynham Police Department, 12 specifically Deputy Chief Louis Pacheco?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And do you know what the purpose of that was?
- 15 A. For further investigation to try to get any other information out of the tapes.
- Q. And did you bring some tapes to Deputy Chief Pacheco?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- 20 Q. And how many did you bring?
- 21 A. Three.
- 22 Q. And were they labeled?
- 23 A. I believe they were labeled.
- Q. And how were they labeled?
- 25 A. A, B, and C.

- Q. And did you have an opportunity to work with Deputy Chief Pacheco and view those tapes?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. And can you -- let me ask you. Was he able to retrieve some images from those tapes?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. And do you know if those were then transferredonto a videotape?
- 9 A. Yes, they were.
- 10 Q. And do you know if a copy of that videotape was provided to me?
- 12 A. I brought it back to Sergeant Otrando, and I
  13 believe he's given it to you, yes.
- 14 Q. And did you have an opportunity to view that 15 tape?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And can you describe what, if anything, was
  depicted on the tape? What was depicted? What
  did you see?
- 20 A. The tape that was made?
- 21 Q. Yes.
- A. In the first scene you're going to see -- I

  believe it's like the cashiers room where they

  check out. The trucks will come in and go. I

  believe that's the room it is. You're going to

see a reflection in door number 1's window of a symbol of a male and a female walking through that room. The male has his arm around that female's backside.

- Q. Did you see anything else depicted on that tape?
- A. Yeah. Several minutes later they were seen walking down a hallway. I refer to it as a money cashing room because there was all counting machines there, and the male and the female were walking down the corridor. The male has his arm around the backside of the victim; and then as they're coming off the screen, you can see the hand, his left hand come up to the elbow.
- 14 Q. Okay. And that was depicted on the tape?
- 15 A. Yes.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

23

24

- Q. Now, you said that you saw a similar scene at the night -- on the night of the alleged incident; is that right?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- Q. What is the difference between those two
  depictions of the two of them coming down that
  hallway? What was the difference between them?
  - A. On this one here they're coming at the camera.

    The one that I viewed at the scene, they were

    walking -- the camera was the backside of them,

more toward the backside of them.

- Q. Okay. While walking down that hallway -- strike that. Did you notice or -- describe what else was depicted on that tape.
- A. Later on, I believe it was like 1900 hours, the female, the alleged victim comes up, puts a soda can on the door rim. It's like a shelf on door #2, I believe it was. And several minutes later she walks back to that, and it appears that the defendant's checking in or cashing out a truck, because you can hear -- you can't really hear, but you can hear the money banging on the counter in one of the volumes; and then the alleged victim is standing to the left at door 2 which is probably like three to four feet from door 1, and she's handing the alleged defendant looks like rubber bands to strap the money.
- Q. Would it be fair to say they appear to be working together?
- 20 | A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

- Q. Did it appear or sound as if there was more than the alleged victim and Mr. Ciambriello in that immediate vicinity?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. There were more than those two voices?

- A. I don't know about the voice, but you can believe that there's two males there and the female. I'd have to view it.
  - Q. The original tapes that you brought to Raynham Police Department Deputy Chief Pacheco, were those subsequently given back to you?
- 7 | A. Yes.

5

6

16

17

18

25

- Q. And you said that -- or strike that. Did you turn those over to someone?
- 10 A. Yes, I did.
- 11 | Q. Who did you turn those over to?
- 12 | A. I returned them back to Sergeant Otrando.
- MS. VEENSTRA: If I could have one
  moment, your Honor? I have no further questions
  of this witness.
  - THE COURT: Mr. Ardito.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

## BY MR. ARDITO:

- 19 Q. Detective, did you happen to see any tape of
  20 them -- when I mean them, I mean Mr. Ciambriello
  21 and Ms. Kiernan. Did you ever see any tape of
  22 them working together?
- A. Just that one at the end of that made tape by Chief Pacheco.
  - Q. And which tape -- could you describe that? I'm

- 1 not sure --
- 2 A. It was -- they were at the two doors that I just
- described, door 2 and door 1. She appeared to be
- 4 handing him elastics.
- Q. And that was the one that allegedly they're
- 6 working together after the incident?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Nothing prior to?
- 9 A. Not to my recollection, no.
- 10 Q. Did you see any video or any tape of another
- woman in the building?
- 12 A. I did not, no.
- 13 Q. Did you see the video of the alleged victim
- leaving the area where she alleged the assault
- 15 took place?
- 16 A. On the night of it happening?
- 17 Q. Yes.
- 18 A. The night of it happening, they left I guess it
- was the office area. They left the office area.
- She left the office area.
- 21 Q. And isn't it true it was a clear picture of her
- leaving? She was walking towards the camera?
- 23 A. She walked out and took a left.
- 24 Q. And you could see her very plainly?
- 25 A. I could see her. I couldn't see her face if

```
1
          that's what you're asking me.
          All right. What about her clothes?
 2
          I don't recall her clothes.
 3
      Α.
          You can't tell us if her clothes were ripped or
 4
      Ο.
 5
          torn --
 6
     Α.
         No.
 7
          -- or together, not together?
         No, I don't have --
 8
     Α.
 9
         You have no recollection?
     Ο.
10
     Α.
         No.
11
                    MR. ARDITO: No further questions,
12
         judge.
13
                    THE COURT: Ms. Veenstra?
14
                       REDIRECT EXAMINATION
     BY MS. VEENSTRA:
15
         Let me ask you, Officer Castro, if her clothes
16
     Q.
         had been disheveled in any way, would you have
17
18
         noticed it?
         From that view, probably not, in my recollection.
19
20
     Q.
         Okay.
21
                   MR. ARDITO: Redirect, your Honor?
22
                    THE COURT:
                                Yep.
23
                   MR. ARDITO: Recross.
24
                      RECROSS EXAMINATION
25
     BY MR. ARDITO:
```

	1
1	Q. Is it your testimony, detective, that on a rape
2	case if you have a video of someone walking away
3	from the incident, you're not looking at that
4	person?
5	A. I couldn't see with the clarity of the film, to
6	my recollection, and I only seen the tape for a
7	short time. I'm not the investigating detective.
8	Q. So
9	MR. ARDITO: No further questions.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MS. VEENSTRA: Nothing further.
12	THE COURT: Thank you very much. You
13	may step down.
14	MS. VEENSTRA: Deputy Chief Louis
15	Pacheco. Your Honor, I would be offering this
16	evidence just to describe the technology for the
17	Court.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	LOUIS PACHECO, Sworn
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MS. VEENSTRA:
22	Q. Could you please introduce yourself for the
23	record?
24	A. My name is Louis, L-O-U-I-S, Pacheco,
25	P-A-C-H-E-C-O. I'm a police officer in the Town
ļ	

- of Raynham, and I currently hold the rank of deputy chief.
- Q. How long have you been with the Raynham Police
  Department?
- 5 A. Approximately thirty years.
- 6 | Q. And let me ask you, what is REACT, R-E-A-C-T?
- 7 A. REACT is a Regional Electronic and Computer
  8 Crimes task force stationed in Raynham. It has
- about twenty-eight different agencies involved,
- and we deal with computer and video forensics.
- 11 Q. And what is a multiplex system, if you can?
- 12 A. Basically it's recording; and when talking about
- video, it's recording multiple images to the same
- 14 tape from different cameras.
- Q. And would that be a normal videotape that it's
- recorded on?
- 17 A. Could be, yes.
- Q. If one tried to view a videotape in which a
- number of images were depicted on that same tape,
- 20 what would one see?
- 21 A. If you used a normal VCR, you'd see a fast
- collage of pictures go by the screen.
- Q. Would it be fair to say that they may not be decipherable?
- 25 A. They wouldn't be until you slowed the speed up on

the VCR, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And is it more involved than just slowing it, or do you have to isolate, or can you describe what, if any, procedure you would go through to retrieve images?
- Well, basically a video image is laid down at Α. 30 -- 29.97 frames per second when you watch a regular video, normal regular video. Obviously the tapes are only two hours long. So, if you want to put multiple cameras on, you can't keep thirty frames per second because the tape would only last two hours for one camera and more for more cameras. So, the technology of a multiplex system picks certain frames which are made from two fields, the odd and the even, and lay them down on the videotape as it runs depending on -and how many frames you get laid on depends on how long the time lapse is. So, if you had your tape on for forty-eight hours, you're taking a two hour tape and laying down forty-eight hours of pictures. If you have your system set up for twenty-four hours, then you're putting twenty-four hours of pictures on two hours.

THE COURT: And how does the machine pick the frame? Is it a time issue, or is it by

if there's movement?

THE WITNESS: It's generally a time issue, your Honor. However, it could be set by the operator. Different systems -- for instance, if you had a camera setting up in this particular courtroom, you may want the camera that's got the witness on it more than the camera that's taking the back of the thing. So, you adjust it so so many frames get recorded from that camera. Depending on how the system is set up, you could adjust it manually. Most just rotate around. A frame, a frame, a frame, a frame.

- Q. So, with those systems, is it fair to say that you may not have or probably would not have continuous coverage of one particular location?
- A. Absolutely true.
- Q. At some point, deputy chief, were you asked to assist Sergeant --

THE COURT: Let me just ask another question before you go from that. So, if you have a system where you've let's just say put in a two hour tape to take an eight hour shift, that tape is going to cover the whole eight hours, but it's going to record the frames as it has been told to pick those frames?

THE WITNESS: Yes, as it's been told to depict them, and so many frames to make it fill the eight hours.

THE COURT: Right.

THE WITNESS: So, if it was a twenty-four hour tape, you'd have less frames. You'd have one frame, for instance, every three seconds. If it was forty-eight, you'd have one frame every six seconds.

THE COURT: So, if you have a system like the one that was involved in this case where there are six VCR screens that you can look at of these cameras taking the videos, and they're recording however they're set up on the time, each of those screens is going to cover -- the tapes for those VCRs is going to cover the period that it's set for? Am I making myself clear?

of time, and depending on the settings of the unit, which I never saw, it would -- how many frames you get per second. So, you could get -- you're supposed to get 29.97 frames per second. As you extend that tape over two hours, that drops down to -- some systems you only get one frame every ten seconds.

1 THE COURT: But you could cover -- you could set it to cover eight hours or twenty-four 2 3 hours or forty-eight hours?

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. Yes, your

THE COURT: Okay.

- And do you know what, if any, setting was in place with regard to an alleged incident at Armored Motor Services of America in May of 2001?
- I have no personal knowledge of what the settings Α. were or anything. I may -- you may get some information off of the tape that we did. However, those are adjustments that are made at

the system. You would have to go to the scene 14 15

and look.

Honor.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

- And you were actually asked by the Attleboro Q. Police Department to assist them in retrieving some images; is that right?
- 19 Α. Yes, I was.
- And were you able to retrieve some images which 20 Q. appear to depict a male and female walking down a 21 22 hallway at that location?
- 23 Yes, I did. Α.
- And were you also able to retrieve some 24 Ο. 25 depictions of a female working near a door with a

soda and some voices in the background?

- A. Yes, I was.
- Q. And were you working with three tapes when asked to assist?
- 5 A. Yes.

1

2

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Were those tapes ultimately returned to the Attleboro Police Department?
- 8 A. Yes.
  - Q. And you were able to provide a videotape of the images that you were able to retrieve?
    - A. Yes. Generally at REACT what we do is the police officers bring the tape in, make an appointment to bring the tape in. We digitize the tape. So, we take it from an analog signal to a digital signal. Once you have it as a digital signal, it's a data base, and you can play it, put it back. You don't destroy the tape. On a regular tape if you pause a regular tape or you do anything with it, you're actually destroying the tape, because the heads are still spinning, but the tape's stopped. So, you're wearing off the oxide. So, by digitizing it allows you to work on it, you know, ad infinitum.
      - THE COURT: Do you recall what period of time -- what the hours over which these --

that these tapes covered? 1 2 THE WITNESS: I don't recall that right 3 now, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Do you recall whether they were -- they each covered the same amount of 5 6 time? 7 THE WITNESS: The section that I looked at, that I was asked to examine was relatively 8 the same amount of time, the same day and the 9 10 same hour. 11 THE COURT: On each tape? 12 THE WITNESS: On each tape. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MS. VEENSTRA: I have no further 15 questions of this witness. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Ardito. 17 MR. ARDITO: Very briefly, judge. 18 CROSS EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. ARDITO: You were able to transcribe -- transpose one copy 20 Ο. 21 of the tape for the Attleboro police, correct? 22 Α. I didn't hear the question. I'm sorry. You were able to make a copy? 23 Ο. 24 I changed the original tape to digital and Α. Yes. then played the digital back onto a VCR, which 25

1 you can have more copies or whatever you want. 2 And during this process you were able to view the 3 three tapes that the Attleboro police took to your office, correct? 4 5 Α. Pieces of them. I didn't view the whole thing. 6 Q. You didn't view the whole thing? 7 Α. No. And in your work, the only thing you were able to 8 Ο. copy for the Attleboro police was a couple 9 10 walking down an aisle? 11 Α. That was what they had asked me to reproduce, 12 yes, sir. 13 And there was a little conversation with the 0. 14 alleged victim and a soda can and some voices in 15 the background, correct? 16 Α. Yes, sir. 17 There was nothing else on those tapes? Ο. 18 Α. Not that I saw, sir. 19 MR. ARDITO: No further questions. 20 THE COURT: Ms. Veenstra? 21 MS. VEENSTRA: I have nothing further. 22 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 23 Anything further, Ms. Veenstra? 24 MS. VEENSTRA: No, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Commonwealth rests?

1 MS. VEENSTRA: Yes, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Ardito, anything 3 further? 4 MR. ARDITO: Just a closing regarding 5 the motion to dismiss, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't I hear 7 you. 8 MR. ARDITO: Briefly, again, we've 9 heard testimony from Chris Abreu the 10 Commonwealth's witness. He was the assistant district attorney that at the time viewed the 11 12 tapes with myself. He testified under direct 13 examination that he saw Ms. Kiernan and Mr. Ciambriello working together at a bench and then 14 15 get up and leave. In the grand jury 16 investigation, in this report she states that -and I'm sure she's going to testify to -- that he 17 18 began his assault while they were sitting down. 19 That he had his arms around her, he had his legs 20 around her, he was unbuttoning her blouse, he was 21 biting her, he was massaging her. We just heard from the district attorney who said that he would 22 23 have testified that that would have happened, that they were working together, they got up, and 24 they left. It's issues like that that make the 25

losing of this tape so prejudiced.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Again, on the tape and ultimately grand jury testimony, Ms. Kiernan calls a friend of hers who arrives. And we heard testimony that Mr. Saunders -- Mr. Abreu saw this young lady show up, and they were outside. The tape clearly showed that they were smoking outside, and they described that in their grand jury testimony. Then this young lady goes into the building with the alleged victim, and they're all sitting together. Then this lady leaves of course, and the rest is not on the tape. The tapes clearly showed when we saw them that there was a cafeteria where Mr. Ciambriello asked her for soda, and she says she -- she says in the grand jury report that that never happened. Yet we saw her with a can of soda after the incident.

These tapes are vitally important to any defense we have. You couldn't possibly cross-examine any witness thoroughly unless we had these tapes. They show a completely different picture. They show the demeanor which was asked for, and I state that in my motion when one of the grand jurors asked the police what was her facial expression like. I disagree with the

1.6

detective. I mean it was clear when she left the ladies room. Certainly I would think a jury would want to see this four or five minute interlude, what she looked like when she walked out; and the camera was clearly on her face, clearly on her body. She turned into the camera and walked to the ladies room. Without these tapes, we are crippled, judge. We need these tapes to try the case.

Now, if they were lost by the police department, if there was a mishandling between the district attorney's office and the police department, then so be it. This case should be dismissed. There is case law. I cite case law. This case should not go forward. You've handcuffed us.

THE COURT: Mr. Ardito, what I understood from these witnesses is that the tapes that you do have or the piece that you do have covers the whole period of time when she was -- when Ms. Kiernan and Mr. --

MR. ARDITO: It's Ms. Kiernan and Mr. Ciambriello, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- Ciambriello were at the facility that night. It just doesn't give the

same views that you're talking about. 1 2 MR. ARDITO: No, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Do you agree with that? 4 MR. ARDITO: No, I disagree. The views we're talking about are on the three tapes that 5 6 were never transcribed. THE COURT: No, no, no, I understand 7 What you're saying is there were views on 8 that. 9 other tapes --10 MR. ARDITO: Correct. 11 THE COURT: -- that were not 12 transcribed. But these tapes that they do have and were transcribed cover the same period of 13 14 time. 15 MR. ARDITO: They can't, because there 16 are other people in the other tapes. How can 17 they cover the same period of time? 18 I understood Chief Pacheco THE COURT: to say that the way this system works, each of 19 the tapes that he looked at covered the same 20 period of time before and after the alleged 21 22 assault. 23 MR. ARDITO: Then --24 THE COURT: But they don't show what you say the other tape shows. So, they don't 25

show the same views, but they cover the same 1 period of time. You say that's not correct? 2 MR. ARDITO: I disagree, because I know 3 4 what I saw. We saw other tapes. 5 THE COURT: But do you understand my 6 question? MR. ARDITO: Yes. Yes, and I disagree 7 8 with the chief. 9 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 10 MR. ARDITO: How is that possible, your 11 He's showing us he transcribed a period of time that they were working, that they walked 12 13 from room 1 to room 2 and down the aisle. he goes back to I believe it's 1900 and -- I 14 forgot the exact time -- where again she's 15 16 working with another person. Where is -- I mean he himself testified that if there were any 17 18 images, he would have picked them out. 19 THE COURT: That's what I'm saying. The views that you say you saw are not on these 20 21 tapes. 22 MR. ARDITO: Correct. 23 THE COURT: But that doesn't mean that it doesn't cover the whole period of time that 24 you're concerned about. These tapes cover the 25

same period of time.

MR. ARDITO: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ARDITO: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Okay.

MR. ARDITO: Now I understand.

THE COURT: Ms. Veenstra.

MS. VEENSTRA: Thank you, your Honor.

I'd ask your Honor not to dismiss this case. I

know that counsel is asking for a dismissal. I'd

suggest that that is a drastic remedy in a case
in which it comes down -- the central issue in

this case is what happened when they went into
that room and were in that room for five

minutes. That has always been the issue in this
case, and that is the central issue.

The cases that talk about dismissal is when the defendant is foregone from any possible defense or a theory of defense. Counsel has suggested I know to me that this is an issue of consent, and the issues that he raises for reasons to need the tape, your Honor, I believe are adequately addressed in my motion. It is much conduct that the alleged victim herself has already testified to under oath. For example, if

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the witness says as she initially did to the police that she was dragged into that room, she testified before the grand jury that she was not in fact dragged. I believe she indicated that she was quided. She cannot now come before any court and reasonably be expected to say that she was dragged. The alleged victim in this case actually admitted that she was allowed outside by the defendant who had to buzz her out to smoke and talk with her friend. That is not something she can now deny. So that, the issue -- the depiction on the tape of them walking down the hallway I'd suggest is important. The depiction of her talking and working with the defendant after the alleged incident is very important to the defendant obviously. Those important depictions will be before the fact finder.

Counsel suggests a very drastic remedy. I suggest to the Court that there are less drastic remedies. If this Court were to find that some remedy -- this defendant needs some remedy to get a fair trial, the cases that the Commonwealth and I believe the defendant cite, there are other alternatives. The Court can obviously consider suppression of any of that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

testimony, any testimony regarding what was depicted on the tapes like the tapes never Tactically for a defendant I would suggest that that would be his call. Or the Court's. There is I think possible based on testimony here that there may be a way to stipulate as to what was depicted on those tapes and put that before the fact finder. Counsel has not suggested to me -- and I think he may be in a difficult position because he may be a witness, but he has not suggested to me that what was -what has been described as depicted is different than his recollection. So that, a stipulation may be possible. Counsel with a stipulation could also have curative or a sort of instruction by the Court that any discrepancy or doubt as to what was depicted on the missing evidence would be in the defendant -- would need to be in favor of the defendant. And counsel could lastly argue that the Commonwealth has lost evidence.

So, I'd suggest that the remedy counsel seeks here is drastic, and I'd ask the Court not to dismiss the case, but allow this case to go to trial and to allow the alleged victim her day in court. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

MR. ARDITO: Your Honor, I have a last minute motion -- I don't know if it reached the Court -- regarding --

THE COURT: I'm sorry. What?

MR. ARDITO: I'm sorry, your Honor. I filed a last minute motion last week knowing we were going to be here today about a gag order. Apparently I've got every TV station in the neighborhood in the State of Rhode Island and Massachusetts contacting both the defendant and -- the victim is giving interviews about how these lost tapes are going to hurt her in a civil lawsuit. I filed a motion. I'd like to have this stop. It's bad enough we don't have the tapes. Now she's out there saying she'll never get a fair trial. So, if there's any way we could --

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Veenstra, do you have a position on this?

MS. VEENSTRA: Your Honor, I don't. I can tell the Court that there have been a number of statements. This case has garnered some press. We are scheduled for trial on September 9th. I'd leave that to the Court's discretion.

```
THE COURT: Okay. I don't have it in
 1
         the file, but we'll find it, and I'll rule on it.
 2
                    MR. ARDITO: Thank you, judge.
 3
 4
                    THE COURT: Okay.
 5
                    (The Court recessed at 11:50 a.m.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE

I, Lori R. Saulnier, Official Court
Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing
record, Pages 1 through 82, is a complete,
accurate, and true transcription of my
stenographic notes taken in the aforementioned
matter to the best of my skills and ability.

LORI R. SAULNIER Official Court Reporter

## Please Note:

The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means, unless under the direct control and/or direction of the certifying reporter.